

A Study of the I - functions of two variables

Shantha Kumari.K.

Research Scholar, SCSVMV, Kanchipuram,
and Department of Mathematics,
P.A.College of Engineering, Mangalore,
Karnataka, INDIA, E-mail: skk_abh@rediffmail.com

Vasudevan Nambisan T.M.

Department of Mathematics, College of Engineering,
Trikaripur, Kerala, INDIA
Email:tmvasudevannambisan@yahoo.com

Arjun K. Rathie

Department of Mathematics,
School of Mathematical and Physical sciences,
Central University of Kerala, Kasaragod,INDIA.
Email: akrathie@gmail.com

Abstract

In our present investigation we propose to study and develop the I-function of two variables analogous to the I-function of one variable introduced and studied by one of the authors[24]. The conditions for convergence, series representation, behaviour for small values, elementary properties, transformation formulas and some special cases for the I-function of two variables are also discussed.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 33C20,33C60

Keywords: I-function, Mellin Barnes contour integral, H-function , G-function

1 Introduction

The well known H-function of one variable defined by Fox [11] was in fact first introduced by Pincherle in 1888 [10, section 1.19], but when Fox investigated and proved the H-function as a symmetric Fourier kernel to Meijers's G-function [10, p.206-222], the interest of most researchers , mathematicians and statisticians in this function has increased. By this fact, the H-function is often called Fox's H-function. Later on, in 1964, Braaksma[6] studied and developed this function in a reasonable good manner by finding its asymptotic behaviour etc. Moreover, the H-function is recognized as a generalization of both Meijer's G-function and Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions[10,p.183]. Numerous research papers by the researchers, mathematicians and statisticians related to

Fox's H-function, its properties and applications have been appeared in the literature. For more information about the results, we refer [17, 19] to the readers.

Recently good deal of progress has been done in the direction of generalizing the Fox's H-function and Meijer's G-function. Frankly speaking, the well known H-function of one variable, introduced by Fox[11] and studied by Braaksma[6] contains as particular cases most of the commonly used special functions of applied mathematics, but it does not contain some of the important functions such as the Riemann zeta functions, polylogarithms etc. By demonstrating several examples of functions which are not included in the Fox's H-function, in 1997, Rathie[24] introduced a new function in the literature namely the "I-function" which is useful in Mathematics, physics and other branches of applied mathematics. The newly defined function contains the polylogarithms, the exact partition of Gaussian free energy model from statistical mechanics, Feynmann integrals and functions useful in testing hypothesis from statistics as special cases.

Very recently, the I-function introduced by Rathie [24] has found useful applications in **wireless communications**. It is not out of place to mention here that, in two of papers, Ansari, et. al. [2,3] have successfully developed an efficient Mathematica[®] implementation of the I-function, in order to give numerical results of their research. In one of their useful and very interesting papers, Ansari, et.al. [3] derived novel closed form expressions for the PDF and CDF of the sum of independently but not identically distributed (i.n.i.d.) gamma or equivalently squared Nakagami- m random variables in the case of both integer-order as well as non integer-order fading figure parameters. They have expressed their results in terms of Fox's H - function and Rathie's I-function.

The vast popularity and immense usefulness of generalized hypergeometric functions in one variable, inspired and stimulated a number of research workers to the study of hypergeometric functions involving two variables. Serious and significant study of the functions of two variables began with the introduction of F_1 , F_2 , F_3 and F_4 by Appell [4] and their confluent form by Humbert[16]. These functions were further generalized by Kampé de Fériet by means of a function popularly known as the Kampé de Fériet function.

Appell and Kampé de Fériet [4] studied the functions of two variables in details and recorded all the useful and important results concerning these functions in their famous work. Later on Srivastava and Daoust[29] studied the Kampé de Fériet function in a more general form. The hypergeometric functions of two variables have attracted attention of eminent mathematicians. Thus Bailey, W.N.[5], Burchhal, J.L. and Chaundy, T.W.[8,9], Erdelyi, A.[10] etc. have contributed a lot of their developement and progress.

Further generalization of these functions of two variables were introduced almost simultaneously by Sharma [27,28] and Agarwal [1]. These two functions, infact are the same except in their notational representations. Agarwal has given a method of estimating this function for large values of the variable and has also obtained a formal pair of unsymmetrical Fourier kernals for it. While Sharma[27,28] has discussed various solutions of the partial differential equations satisfied by his function. He has also evaluated a number of interesting integrals and established several properties of the function.

Since then a number of mathematicians notably Pathak[22], Bora and Kalla [7], Verma

[31] and several others have studied functions of two variables which are more general than the functions studied earlier by Agarwal and Sharma.

Finally in 1972, Mittal and Gupta [21] defined a generalized function of two variables popularly known as the H-function of two variables, which generalizes almost all the hypergeometric functions involving two variables mentioned above.

Very recently, the hypergeometric function of two variables introduced by Agarwal [1] and Sharma[27,28] have found interesting applications in **wireless communications**. For this in a paper by Xia, et.al [32] by considering dual-hop channel state information (CSI)-assisted amplify-and-forward (AF) relaying over Nakagami-m fading channels, the cumulative distribution function (CDF) of the end-to-end signal-to-noise ratio(SNR) is derived . In particular, when the fading shape factors m_1 and m_2 at consecutive hops take non-integer values, the bivariate H-function and G-function are exploited to obtain an exact analytical expression for the CDF.

The remainder of the paper will be organised as follows. In section 2, we shall define the generalization of I-function namely, I-function of two variables. In section 3, we give the notations and results used throughout this paper. In section 4, convergence conditions for this function have been derived. In section 5, we will obtain the series representations and behaviour of the function for small values of the variables. In section 6, we list special cases of our function giving relations with other functions available in the literature, including H-functions of two variables and G-functions of two variables. In section 7, we mention few important properties.

2 The I-function of two variables

The double Mellin Barnes type contour integral occurring in this paper will be referred to as the I-function of two variables throughout our present study and will be defined and represented in the following manner.

$$\begin{aligned} I[z_1, z_2] &= I_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \left| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right. \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathcal{L}_s} \int_{\mathcal{L}_t} \phi(s, t) \theta_1(s) \theta_2(t) z_1^s z_2^t ds dt \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\phi(s, t)$, $\theta_1(s)$, $\theta_2(t)$ are given by

$$\phi(s, t) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_1} \Gamma^{\xi_j} (1 - a_j + \alpha_j s + A_j t)}{\prod_{j=n_1+1}^{p_1} \Gamma^{\xi_j} (a_j - \alpha_j s - A_j t) \prod_{j=1}^{q_1} \Gamma^{\eta_j} (1 - b_j + \beta_j s + B_j t)} \quad (2.2)$$

$$\theta_1(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \Gamma^{U_j} (1 - c_j + C_j s) \prod_{j=1}^{m_2} \Gamma^{V_j} (d_j - D_j s)}{\prod_{j=n_2+1}^{p_2} \Gamma^{U_j} (c_j - C_j s) \prod_{j=m_2+1}^{q_2} \Gamma^{V_j} (1 - d_j + D_j s)} \quad (2.3)$$

$$\theta_2(t) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_3} \Gamma^{P_j} (1 - e_j + E_j t) \prod_{j=1}^{m_3} \Gamma^{Q_j} (f_j - F_j t)}{\prod_{j=n_3+1}^{p_3} \Gamma^{P_j} (e_j - E_j t) \prod_{j=m_3+1}^{q_3} \Gamma^{Q_j} (1 - f_j + F_j t)} \quad (2.4)$$

Also :

- $z_1 \neq 0, z_2 \neq 0$;
- $i = \sqrt{-1}$;
- an empty product is interpreted as unity ;
- the parameters $n_j, p_j, q_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$, $m_j (j = 2, 3)$ are nonnegative integers such that $0 \leq n_j \leq p_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$, $q_1 \geq 0$, $0 \leq m_j \leq q_j (j = 2, 3)$ (not all zero simulataneously) ;
- $\alpha_j, A_j (j = 1, \dots, p_1)$, $\beta_j, B_j (j = 1, \dots, q_1)$, $C_j (j = 1, \dots, p_2)$, $D_j (j = 1, \dots, q_2)$, $E_j (j = 1, \dots, p_3)$, $F_j (j = 1, \dots, q_3)$ are assumed to be positive quantities for standardisation purpose.

However, the definition of I-function of two variables will have a meaning even if some of the quantities are zero or negative numbers. For these we may obtain corresponding transformation formulas which will be given in later section.

- $a_j (j = 1, \dots, p_1)$, $b_j (j = 1, \dots, q_1)$, $c_j (j = 1, \dots, p_2)$, $d_j (j = 1, \dots, q_2)$, $e_j (j = 1, \dots, p_3)$ and $f_j (j = 1, \dots, q_3)$ are complex numbers;
- The exponents $\xi_j (j = 1, \dots, p)$, $\eta_j (j = 1, \dots, q)$, $U_j (j = 1, \dots, p_2)$, $V_j (j = 1, \dots, q_2)$, $P_j (j = 1, \dots, p_3)$, $Q_j (j = 1, \dots, q_3)$ of various gamma functions involved in (2.2) , (2.3) and (2.4) may take non-integer values.
- \mathcal{L}_s and \mathcal{L}_t are suitable contours of Mellin - Barnes type. Moreover, the contour \mathcal{L}_s is in the complex s-plane and runs from $\sigma_1 - i\infty$ to $\sigma_1 + i\infty$, (σ_1 real) so that all the singularities of $\Gamma^{V_j} (d_j - D_j s) (j = 1, \dots, m_2)$ lie to the right of \mathcal{L}_s and all the singularities of $\Gamma^{U_j} (1 - c_j + C_j s) (j = 1, \dots, n_2)$, $\Gamma^{\xi_j} (1 - a_j + \alpha_j s + A_j t) (j = 1, \dots, n_1)$ lie to the left of \mathcal{L}_s ;
- The contour \mathcal{L}_t is in the complex t-plane and runs from $\sigma_2 - i\infty$ to $\sigma_2 + i\infty$, (σ_2 real) so that all the singularities of $\Gamma^{Q_j} (f_j - F_j t) (j = 1, \dots, m_3)$ lie to the right of \mathcal{L}_t , and all the singularities of $\Gamma^{P_j} (1 - e_j + E_j t) (j = 1, \dots, n_3)$, $\Gamma^{\xi_j} (1 - a_j + \alpha_j s + A_j t) (j = 1, \dots, n_1)$ lie to the left of \mathcal{L}_t .

3 Notations and Results used :

All the assumptions made above will be retained throughout this paper. For the sake of brevity, the function defined in (2.1) will be simply denoted by either $I[z_1, z_2]$ or $I \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Throughout the present work we shall use the following notations.

- $(a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1,p}$ stands for $(a_1; \alpha_1, A_1; \xi_1), (a_2; \alpha_2, A_2; \xi_2), \dots, (a_p; \alpha_p, A_p; \xi_p)$.
- $(c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1,p_2}$ stands for $(c_1, C_1; U_1), (c_2, C_2; U_2), \dots, (c_{p_2}, C_{p_2}; U_{p_2})$.
- $(a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; 1)_{1,p}$ stands for $(a_1; \alpha_1, A_1; 1), (a_2; \alpha_2, A_2; 1), \dots, (a_p; \alpha_p, A_p; 1)$.
- $(a_j; \alpha_j, A_j)_{1,p}$ stands for $(a_1; \alpha_1, A_1), (a_2; \alpha_2, A_2), \dots, (a_p; \alpha_p, A_p)$.
- $(a_j; \alpha_j, 1)_{1,p}$ stands for $(a_1; \alpha_1, 1), (a_2; \alpha_2, 1), \dots, (a_p; \alpha_p, 1)$.
- $(a_j; \alpha_j)_{1,p}$ stands for $(a_1; \alpha_1), (a_2; \alpha_2), \dots, (a_p; \alpha_p)$.
- $(a_j; 1)_{1,p}$ stands for $(a_1; 1), (a_2; 1), \dots, (a_p; 1)$.
- $(a_p) = (a_j)_{1,p}$ stands for $(a_1), (a_2), \dots, (a_p)$.

4 Convergence Conditions

Following the results of Braaksma [6 ,p.278] and Rathie [24], it can easily be shown that the function defined by (2.1) is an analytic function of z_1 and z_2 if $R < 0$ and $S < 0$ where

$$R = \sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \xi_j \alpha_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p_2} U_j C_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \eta_j \beta_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_2} V_j D_j \quad (4.1)$$

$$S = \sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \xi_j A_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p_3} P_j E_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \eta_j B_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_3} Q_j F_j \quad (4.2)$$

The sufficient conditions for the convergence of (2.1) are given in the following theorem.

Theorem : The integral (2.1) is convergent if $|\arg(z_1)| < \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1\pi$, $|\arg(z_2)| < \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2\pi$

and $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 > 0$ where

$$\Delta_1 = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \xi_j \alpha_j - \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{p_1} \xi_j \alpha_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \eta_j \beta_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_2} U_j C_j - \sum_{j=n_2+1}^{p_2} U_j C_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m_2} V_j D_j - \sum_{j=m_2+1}^{q_2} V_j D_j \right] \quad (4.3)$$

$$\Delta_2 = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n_1} \xi_j A_j - \sum_{j=n_1+1}^{p_1} \xi_j A_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \eta_j B_j + \sum_{j=1}^{n_3} P_j E_j - \sum_{j=n_3+1}^{p_3} P_j E_j + \sum_{j=1}^{m_3} Q_j F_j - \sum_{j=m_3+1}^{q_3} Q_j F_j \right] \quad (4.4)$$

and if $|\arg(z_1)| = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_1\pi$, $|\arg(z_2)| = \frac{1}{2}\Delta_2\pi$ and $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \geq 0$, then integral (2.1) converges absolutely under the following conditions.

(i) $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = 0$, $\Omega_1 > 1$ and $\Omega_2 > 1$, where

$$\mu_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{p_2} U_j C_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_2} V_j D_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \xi_j \alpha_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \eta_j \beta_j \quad (4.5)$$

$$\mu_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{p_3} P_j E_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_3} Q_j F_j + \sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \xi_j A_j - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \eta_j B_j \quad (4.6)$$

$$\Omega_1 = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \xi_j \left(\Re(a_j) - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \eta_j \left(\Re(b_j) - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{p_2} U_j \left(\Re(c_j) - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{q_2} V_j \left(\Re(d_j) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \quad (4.7)$$

$$\Omega_2 = \left[\sum_{j=1}^{p_1} \xi_j \left(\Re(a_j) - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{q_1} \eta_j \left(\Re(b_j) - \frac{1}{2} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^{p_3} P_j \left(\Re(e_j) - \frac{1}{2} \right) - \sum_{j=1}^{q_3} Q_j \left(\Re(f_j) - \frac{1}{2} \right) \right] \quad (4.8)$$

(ii) $\mu_1 \neq 0, \mu_2 \neq 0$, if with $s = \sigma_1 + it_1$, $t = \sigma_2 + it_2$ ($\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t_1, t_2$ are real), σ_1 and σ_2 are chosen so that for $|t_1| \rightarrow \infty, |t_2| \rightarrow \infty$ we have $(\Omega_1 + \sigma_1 \mu_1) > 1$ and $(\Omega_2 + \sigma_2 \mu_2) > 1$.

Proof: The existence of $I[z_1, z_2]$ may be recognised by the convergence of the integral (2.1) which depends on the asymptotic behaviour of the functions $\phi(s, t)$, $\theta_1(s)$ and $\theta_2(t)$ defined by (2.2), (2.3) and (2.4) respectively. Such an asymptotic is based on the following relation for gamma function [18, 25(p.33)]

$$|\Gamma(x + iy)| \sim \sqrt{2\pi} |y|^{x-\frac{1}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\pi|y|\right), \quad (|y| \rightarrow \infty) \quad (4.9)$$

where x and y are real numbers. If we apply (4.9) for various gamma functions of the integrand of (2.1) with $s = \sigma_1 + it_1$, $t = \sigma_2 + it_2$ where $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, t_1, t_2$ are real. Thus we obtain, for $|t_1| \rightarrow \infty$ and $|t_2| \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|\phi(s, t) z_1^s z_2^t| \sim k_1 |t_1|^{-\Omega_1 - \sigma_1 \mu_1} \exp\left(-t_1(\arg z_1) - \frac{\pi}{2}|t_1|\Delta_1\right)$$

and

$$|\phi(s, t) z_1^s z_2^t| \sim k_2 |t_2|^{-\Omega_2 - \sigma_2 \mu_2} \exp \left(-t_2 (\arg z_2) - \frac{\pi}{2} |t_2| \Delta_2 \right)$$

where k_1 and k_2 are independent of t_1 and t_2 . Hence the result follows.

Remark : If $V_j = 1 (j = 1, \dots, m_2)$ and $Q_j = 1 (j = 1, \dots, m_3)$ in (2.1), then the function will be denoted by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathbb{I}} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} &= \mathbb{I}_{\substack{0, n_1 : m_2, n_2 ; m_3, n_3 \\ p_1, q_1 : p_2, q_2 ; p_3, q_3}} \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, n_2}, (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{n_2+1, p_2}; \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; 1)_{1, m_2}, (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{m_2+1, q_2}; \\ (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, n_3}, (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{n_3+1, p_3} \\ (f_j, F_j; 1)_{1, m_3}, (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{m_3+1, q_3} \end{array} \right. \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{\mathcal{L}_s} \int_{\mathcal{L}_t} \phi(s, t) \bar{\theta}_1(s) \bar{\theta}_2(t) z_1^s z_2^t ds dt \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

where $\phi(s, t)$ is given by (2.2) and $\bar{\theta}_1(s)$, $\bar{\theta}_2(t)$ are given by

$$\bar{\theta}_1(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \Gamma^{U_j} (1 - c_j + C_j s) \prod_{j=1}^{m_2} \Gamma (d_j - D_j s)}{\prod_{j=n_2+1}^{p_2} \Gamma^{U_j} (c_j - C_j s) \prod_{j=m_2+1}^{q_2} \Gamma^{V_j} (1 - d_j + D_j s)} \quad (4.11)$$

$$\bar{\theta}_2(t) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_3} \Gamma^{P_j} (1 - e_j + E_j t) \prod_{j=1}^{m_3} \Gamma (f_j - F_j t)}{\prod_{j=n_3+1}^{p_3} \Gamma^{P_j} (e_j - E_j t) \prod_{j=m_3+1}^{q_3} \Gamma^{Q_j} (1 - f_j + F_j t)} \quad (4.12)$$

5 Series Representation

Following the lines of Rathie[24], we can obtain the series representation and behaviour for small values for the function $\overline{\mathbb{I}}[z_1, z_2]$ defined and represented by (4.10). The series representation may be given as follows :

If (i) $D_h(d_j + r) \neq D_j(d_h + \mu)$, for $j \neq h$; $j, h = 1, \dots, m_2$; $r, \mu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

(ii) $F_l(f_j + k) \neq F_j(f_l + \nu)$, for $j \neq l$; $j, l = 1, \dots, m_3$; $k, \nu = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

(iii) $z_1 \neq 0$, $z_2 \neq 0$, $R < 0$, $S < 0$ (where R and S are defined by (4.1) and (4.2) respectively),

(iv) and if all the poles of (4.10) are simple ,

then the integral (4.10) can be evaluated with the help of the Residue theorem to give

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{\mathbb{T}}[z_1, z_2] \\ &= \sum_{h=1}^{m_2} \sum_{l=1}^{m_3} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(-1)^{r+k}}{D_h F_l r! k!} \phi \left(\frac{d_h+r}{D_h}, \frac{f_l+k}{F_l} \right) \bar{\theta}_3 \left(\frac{d_h+r}{D_h} \right) \bar{\theta}_4 \left(\frac{f_l+k}{F_l} \right) z_1^{\frac{d_h+r}{D_h}} z_2^{\frac{f_l+k}{F_l}} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $\phi \left(\frac{d_h+r}{D_h}, \frac{f_l+k}{F_l} \right)$ is defined analogous to $\phi(s, t)$ given by (2.2) and $\bar{\theta}_3 \left(\frac{d_h+r}{D_h} \right), \bar{\theta}_4 \left(\frac{f_l+k}{F_l} \right)$ are respectively given by

$$\bar{\theta}_3 \left(\frac{d_h+r}{D_h} \right) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_2} \Gamma^{U_j} \left(1 - c_j + C_j \left(\frac{d_h+r}{D_h} \right) \right) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ (j \neq h)}}^{m_2} \Gamma \left(d_j - D_j \left(\frac{d_h+r}{D_h} \right) \right)}{\prod_{j=n_2+1}^{p_2} \Gamma^{U_j} \left(c_j - C_j \left(\frac{d_h+r}{D_h} \right) \right) \prod_{j=m_2+1}^{q_2} \Gamma^{V_j} \left(1 - d_j + D_j \left(\frac{d_h+r}{D_h} \right) \right)} \quad (5.2)$$

$$\bar{\theta}_4 \left(\frac{f_l+k}{F_l} \right) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n_3} \Gamma^{P_j} \left(1 - e_j + E_j \left(\frac{f_l+k}{F_l} \right) \right) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ (j \neq l)}}^{m_3} \Gamma \left(f_j - F_j \left(\frac{f_l+k}{F_l} \right) \right)}{\prod_{j=n_3+1}^{p_3} \Gamma^{P_j} \left(e_j - E_j \left(\frac{f_l+k}{F_l} \right) \right) \prod_{j=m_3+1}^{q_3} \Gamma^{Q_j} \left(1 - f_j + F_j \left(\frac{f_l+k}{F_l} \right) \right)} \quad (5.3)$$

for $|z_1| < 1, |z_2| < 1$.

From (5.1) it follows that

$$\overline{\mathbb{T}}[z_1, z_2] = O(|z_1|^\alpha |z_2|^\beta), \quad \max\{|z_1|, |z_2|\} \rightarrow 0 \quad (5.4)$$

where

$$\alpha = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_2} \left[\Re \left(\frac{d_j}{D_j} \right) \right] \quad (5.5)$$

$$\beta = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m_3} \left[\Re \left(\frac{f_j}{F_j} \right) \right] \quad (5.6)$$

for small values of z_1 and z_2 .

6 Elementary Special Cases

In this section, we mention some interesting and useful special cases of the I-function of two variables.

(i) If all the exponents $\xi_j (j = 1, \dots, p_1), \eta_j (j = 1, \dots, q_1), U_j (j = 1, \dots, p_2), V_j (j = 1, \dots, q_2), P_j (j = 1, \dots, p_3)$ and $Q_j (j = 1, \dots, q_3)$ are equal to unity, then (2.1) reduces to the H-function of two variables defined by Mittal and Gupta [21].

(ii) If we take $p_1 = q_1 = n_1 = 0$ in (2.1) then it degenerates into the product of two I- functions of one variable introduced by Rathie [24] as

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{0,0;p_2,q_2;p_3,q_3}^{0,m_2,n_2;m_3,n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} \text{---} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1,p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1,p_3} \\ \text{---} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1,q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1,q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= I_{p_2,q_2}^{m_2,n_2} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1,q_2} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1,p_2} \end{matrix} \right] \times I_{p_3,q_3}^{m_3,n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_2 \\ (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1,q_3} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1,p_3} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.1)$$

(iii) If we take $m_3 = 1, n_3 = p_3, f_1 = 0, (F_j)_{1,q_3} = 1, (A_j)_{1,p_1} = (B_j)_{1,q_1} = (E_j)_{1,p_3} = (F_j)_{1,q_3} = 1$, equate the exponents $P_j (j = 1, \dots, p_3), Q_j (j = 1, \dots, q_3)$ to unity, replace q_3 by $q_3 + 1$ and let $z_2 \rightarrow 0$ in (2.1), we get the following relation by virtue of (2.1) and known results [10, p.208],

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{z_2 \rightarrow 0} I_{p_1,q_1;p_2,q_2;p_3,q_3}^{0,n_1;m_2,n_2;m_3,n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j, 1; \xi_j)_{1,p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1,p_2}; (e_j, 1; 1)_{1,p_3} \\ (b_j; \beta_j, 1; \eta_j)_{1,q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1,q_2}; (0, 1; 1), (f_j, 1; 1)_{2,q_3+1} \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p_3} \Gamma(1 - e_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q_3} \Gamma(1 - f_j)} I_{p_1+p_2,q_1+q_2}^{m_2,n_1+n_2} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1,q_2}, (b_j, \beta_j; \eta_j)_{1,q_1} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j, \alpha_j; \xi_j)_{1,n_1}, (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1,p_2}, (a_j, \alpha_j; \xi_j)_{n_1+1,p_1} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.2)$$

where $p_1 + p_3 < q_1 + q_3 + 1$

(iv) A relationship between the I-function of two variables and the G-function of two variables [30, p.7, eq.1.2.3] is,

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{p_1,q_1;p_2,q_2;p_3,q_3}^{0,n_1;m_2,n_2;m_3,n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j; 1, 1; 1)_{1,p_1} : (c_j, 1; 1)_{1,p_2}; (e_j, 1; 1)_{1,p_3} \\ (b_j; 1, 1; 1)_{1,q_1} : (d_j, 1; 1)_{1,q_2}; (f_j, 1; 1)_{1,q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= G(z_1, z_2) = G_{p_1,q_1;p_2,q_2;p_3,q_3}^{0,n_1;m_2,n_2;m_3,n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_{p_1}) : (c_{p_2}); (e_{p_3}) \\ (b_{q_1}) : (d_{q_2}); (f_{q_3}) \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

(v) Another specialization of parameters in the I-function of two variables yields the interesting relationship :

$$\begin{aligned} & I_{p_1,q_1;p_2,q_2+1;p_3,q_3+1}^{0,p_1;1,p_2;1,p_3} \left[\begin{matrix} -z_1 \\ -z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (1 - a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; 1)_{1,p_1} : \\ (1 - b_j; \beta_j, B_j; 1)_{1,q_1} : \\ (1 - c_j, C_j; 1)_{1,p_2}; (1 - e_j, E_j; 1)_{1,p_3} \\ (0, 1; 1), (1 - d_j, D_j; 1)_{1,q_2}; (0, 1; 1), (1 - f_j, F_j; 1)_{1,q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= S_{p_1;p_2;p_3;q_1;q_2;q_3} \left[\begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j)_{1,p_1} : (c_j, C_j)_{1,p_2}; (e_j, E_j)_{1,p_3} ; \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j)_{1,q_1} : (d_j, D_j)_{1,q_2}; (f_j, F_j)_{1,q_3} ; \end{matrix} \quad z_1, z_2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

where $S(z_1, z_2)$ is generalized Kampe de Fariet function defined by Srivastava and Daoust [29, 30, p.6, eq.1.2.2].

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(vi)} I_{p_1,q_1;p_2,q_2+1;p_3,q_3+1}^{0,n_1;1,p_2;1,p_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (1 - a_j; 1, 1; 1)_{1,p_1} : \\ (1 - b_j; 1, 1; 1)_{1,q_1} : \\ (1 - c_j, 1; 1)_{1,p_2}; (1 - e_j, 1; 1)_{1,p_3} \\ (0, 1; 1), (1 - d_j, 1; 1)_{1,q_2}; (0, 1; 1), (1 - f_j, 1; 1)_{1,q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \\ &= \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{p_1} \Gamma(a_j) \prod_{j=1}^{p_2} \Gamma(c_j) \prod_{j=1}^{p_3} \Gamma(e_j)}{\prod_{j=1}^{q_1} \Gamma(b_j) \prod_{j=1}^{q_2} \Gamma(d_j) \prod_{j=1}^{q_3} \Gamma(f_j)} \times \end{aligned}$$

$$F_{\substack{p_1:p_2:p_3 \\ q_1:q_2:q_3}} \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_j)_{1,p_1} : (c_j)_{1,p_2}, (e_j)_{1,p_3} \\ (b_j)_{1,q_1} : (d_j)_{1,q_2}; (f_j)_{1,q_3} \end{array} ; z_1 \right] \quad (6.5)$$

where the function $F[z_1, z_2]$ is the Kampé de Fériet function [30, p.5, eq.1.2.1].

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(vii)} \quad I_{0,0:p_2,q_2+1;p_3,q_3+1}^{0,0:1,p_2;1,p_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} -z_1 \\ -z_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} : \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} (1-c_j, C_j; 1)_{1,p_2}; (1-e_j, E_j; 1)_{1,p_3} \\ (0, 1; 1), (1-d_j, D_j; 1)_{1,q_2}; (0, 1; 1), (1-f_j, F_j; 1)_{1,q_3} \end{array} \right] \\ = {}_{p_2}\Psi_{q_2} \left[\begin{array}{c} (c_j, C_j)_{1,p_2} \\ (d_j, D_j)_{1,q_2} \end{array} ; z_1 \right] \times {}_{p_3}\Psi_{q_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} (e_j, E_j)_{1,p_3} \\ (f_j, F_j)_{1,q_3} \end{array} ; z_2 \right] \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

where the function ${}_{p_2}\Psi_{q_2}$ and ${}_{p_3}\Psi_{q_3}$ are Wright's generalized hypergeometric functions.[30, p. 19,eq.2.6.11]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(viii)} \quad I_{0,0:0,2;0,2}^{0,0:1,0;1,0} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} : \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \\ = J_\mu^\alpha(z_1) \times J_\nu^\beta(z_2) \end{aligned} \quad (6.7)$$

where the functions $J_\mu^\alpha(z_1)$ and $J_\nu^\beta(z_2)$ are Wright's generalized Bessel functions.[30, p.19,eq.2.6.10]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ix)} \quad I_{0,0:2,2;2,2}^{0,0:1,2;1,2} \left[\begin{array}{c} -z_1 \\ -z_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} : \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \\ = \Phi(z_1, p, \alpha) \times \Phi(z_2, q, \beta) \end{aligned} \quad (6.8)$$

where $\Phi(z_1, p, \alpha)$ and $\Phi(z_2, q, \beta)$ are the generalised Riemann-zeta functions[10, p.27, 1.11, eq(1)] , which are generalizations of Hurwitz zeta functions and Riemann zeta functions [10, p.24, 1.10, eq(1) and 1.12, eq(1)]

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(x)} \quad I_{0,0:2,2;2,2}^{0,0:1,2;1,2} \left[\begin{array}{c} -z_1 \\ -z_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} : \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right] \\ = F(z_1, p) \times F(z_2, q) \end{aligned} \quad (6.9)$$

where $F(z_1, p)$ and $F(z_2, q)$ are the polylogarithms of order p and q respectively. For p=2 and q=2, the R.H.S. of (6.9) equation reduces to product of Eulers's dilogarithm [10, p.31, 1.11.1, eq(2)]

7 Elementary properties and Transformation formulas

The properties given below are immediate consequence of the definition (2.1) and hence they are given here without proof:

(i)

$$I_{p_1,q_1:p_2,q_2;p_3,q_3}^{0,0:m_2,n_2;m_3,n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \middle| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} : \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} ; \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ \text{---} \end{array} \right]$$

$$= I_{q_1, p_1; q_2, p_2; q_3, p_3}^{0, 0; n_2, m_2; n_3, m_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1^{-1} \\ z_2^{-1} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (1 - b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : \\ (1 - a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : \\ (1 - d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (1 - f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \\ (1 - c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (1 - e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \end{matrix} \right] \quad (7.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(ii)} \\ & z_1^{k_1} z_2^{k_2} I_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j + k_1 \alpha_j + k_2 A_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : \\ (b_j + k_1 \beta_j + k_2 B_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : \\ (c_j + k_1 C_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j + k_2 E_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (d_j + k_1 D_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j + k_2 F_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.2)$$

for $k_1 > 0, k_2 > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(iii)} \\ & \frac{1}{k_1} \frac{1}{k_2} I_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1^{k_1} \\ z_2^{k_2} \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j; k_1 \alpha_j, k_2 A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, k_1 C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, k_2 E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (b_j; k_1 \beta_j, k_2 B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, k_1 D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, k_2 F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.3)$$

where $k_1 > 0, k_2 > 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(iv)} \\ & I_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a; \alpha, 0; \xi), (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{2, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \\ & = I_{p_1-1, q_1; p_2+1, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1-1; m_2, n_2+1; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{2, p_1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : \\ (a, \alpha; \xi), (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

where $p_1 \geq n_1 \geq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(v)} \\ & I_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1-1}, (a; \alpha, 0; \xi) : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \\ & = I_{p_1-1, q_1; p_2+1, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1-1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : \\ (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}, (a, \alpha; \xi); (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.5)$$

where $p_1 - 1 \geq n_1 \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{(vi)} \\ & I_{p_1, q_1; p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1-1}, (b; \beta, 0; \eta) : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \\ & = I_{p_1, q_1-1; p_2, q_2+1; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{matrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{matrix} \middle| \begin{matrix} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1-1} : \\ (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}, (b, \beta; \eta); (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{matrix} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

where $q_1 - 1 \geq 0$

(vii)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a; 0, 0; \xi), (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{2, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \\
&= \Gamma^\xi (1-a) \times \\
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1-1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1-1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{2, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\geq n_1 \geq 1, \Re(1-a) > 0$.

(viii)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1-1}, (a; 0, 0; \xi) : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma^\xi(a)} \times \\
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1-1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1-1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.8)
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_1 - 1 \geq n_1 \geq 0, \Re(a) > 0$

(ix)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1-1}, (b; 0, 0; \eta) : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma^\eta(1-b)} \times \\
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1-1: \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1-1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where $q_1 - 1 \geq 0, \Re(1-b) > 0$

(x)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c, 0; U), (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{2, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \\
&= \Gamma^U (1-c) \times \\
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2-1, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2-1; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{2, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_2 \geq n_2 \geq 1, \Re(1-c) > 0$

(xi)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2-1}, (c, 0; U); (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \\
&= \frac{1}{\Gamma^U(c)} \times \\
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2-1, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2-1}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.11)
\end{aligned}$$

where $p_2 - 1 \geq n_2 \geq 0, \Re(c) > 0$

(xii)

$$\mathbf{I}_{\mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{q}_1: \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{q}_2; \mathbf{p}_3, \mathbf{q}_3}^{0, \mathbf{n}_1: \mathbf{m}_2, \mathbf{n}_2; \mathbf{m}_3, \mathbf{n}_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d, 0; V), (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{2, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \Gamma^V(d) \times \mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2-1; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2-1, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{2, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.12)$$

where $q_2 \geq n_2 \geq 1, \Re(d) > 0$.

(xiii)

$$\mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2-1}, (d, 0; V); (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma^V(1-d)} \times \mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2-1; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2-1}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.13)$$

where $q_2 - 1 \geq m_2 \geq 0, \Re(1-d) > 0$

(xiv)

$$\mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e, 0; P), (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{2, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \\ = \Gamma^P(1-e) \times \mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3-1, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3-1} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{2, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2-1}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.14)$$

where $p_3 \geq n_3 \geq 1, \Re(1-e) > 0$.

(xv)

$$\mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3-1}, (e, 0; P) \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma^P(e)} \times \mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3-1, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3-1} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2-1}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.15)$$

where $p_3 - 1 \geq n_3 \geq 0, \Re(e) > 0$.

(xvi)

$$\mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f, 0; Q), (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{2, q_3} \end{array} \right] \\ = \Gamma^Q(f) \times \mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3-1}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3-1, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3-1} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{2, q_3} \end{array} \right] \quad (7.16)$$

where $q_3 \geq m_3 \geq 1, \Re(f) > 0$.

(xvii)

$$\mathcal{I}_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c|c} z_1 & (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ z_2 & (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3-1}, (f, 0; Q) \end{array} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma^Q(1-f)} \times I_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3-1}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3-1} \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3-1} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (7.17)$$

where $q_3 - 1 \geq n_3 \geq 0$, $\Re(1-f) > 0$.

(xviii)

$$I_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1-1}, (a_1; \alpha_1, A_1; \xi_1) : \\ (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2-1}, (c_1, C_1; U_1); (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3-1}, (e_1, E_1; P_1) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ = I_{p_1-1, q_1-1: p_2-1, q_2-1; p_3-1, q_3-1}^{0, n_1-1: m_2, n_2-1; m_3, n_3-1} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{2, p_1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1-1} : \\ (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{2, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{2, p_3} \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2-1}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3-1} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (7.18)$$

provided that $p_1 \geq n_1 \geq 1$, $p_2 \geq n_2 \geq 1$, $p_3 \geq n_3 \geq 1$, $q_1 \geq 1$, $q_2 \geq m_2 + 1$, $q_3 \geq m_3 + 1$

(xix)

$$I_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : \\ (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2-1}, (d_1, D_1; V_1); (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3-1}, (f_1, F_1; Q_1) \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right. \right] \\ = I_{p_1, q_1: p_2-1, q_2-1; p_3-1, q_3-1}^{0, n_1: m_2-1, n_2; m_3-1, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : \\ (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2-1}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3-1} \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{2, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{2, q_3} \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (7.19)$$

provided that $q_1 \geq 1$, $q_2 \geq m_2 \geq 1$, $q_3 \geq m_3 \geq 1$ and $p_1 \geq n_1 + 1$, $p_2 \geq n_2 + 1$, $p_3 \geq n_3 + 1$.

(xx)

$$I_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : \\ (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3} \\ (c_{p_2}, C_{p_2}, U_{p_2}), (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{2, q_2}; (e_{p_3}, E_{p_3}; P_{p_3}), (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{2, q_3}, \end{array} \right. \right] \\ = I_{p_1, q_1: p_2-1, q_2-1; p_3-1, q_3-1}^{0, n_1: m_2-1, n_2; m_3-1, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{1, p_1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : \\ (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{1, p_2-1}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{1, p_3-1} \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{2, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{2, q_3}, \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (7.20)$$

provided that $p_2 \geq n_2 + 1$, $p_3 \geq n_3 + 1$, $q_2 \geq m_2$ and $q_3 \geq m_3$

(xxi)

$$I_{p_1, q_1: p_2, q_2; p_3, q_3}^{0, n_1-1: m_2, n_2; m_3, n_3} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{2, p_1} : \\ (b_{q_1}, \beta_{q_1}, B_{q_1}), (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1} : \\ (d_{q_2}, D_{q_2}, V_{q_2}), (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{2, p_2}; (f_{q_3}, F_{q_3}, Q_{q_3}), (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{2, p_3} \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3} \end{array} \right. \right]$$

$$= I_{p_1-1, q_1-1; p_2-1, q_2-1; p_3-1, q_3-1}^{0, n_1; m_2, n_2-1; m_3, n_3-1} \left[\begin{array}{c} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{array} \left| \begin{array}{l} (a_j; \alpha_j, A_j; \xi_j)_{2, p_1} : \\ (b_j; \beta_j, B_j; \eta_j)_{1, q_1-1} : \\ (c_j, C_j; U_j)_{2, p_2}; (e_j, E_j; P_j)_{2, p_3} \\ (d_j, D_j; V_j)_{1, q_2-1}; (f_j, F_j; Q_j)_{1, q_3-1}, \end{array} \right. \right] \quad (7.21)$$

where $p_1 - 1 \geq n_1$, $q_1 \geq 1$, $p_2 \geq n_2 \geq 1$, $p_3 \geq n_3 \geq 1$, $q_2 - 1 \geq m_2$ and $q_3 - 1 \geq m_3$.

Special Cases :

When all the exponents $\xi_j (j = 1, \dots, p_1)$, $\eta_j (j = 1, \dots, q_1)$, $U_j (j = 1, \dots, p_2)$, $V_j (j = 1, \dots, q_2)$, $P_j (j = 1, \dots, p_3)$, $Q_j (j = 1, \dots, q_3)$ are equal to unity, the I-function of two variables reduce to the H-function of two variables and therefore we obtain the corresponding results in H- function of two variables recorded in [30].

Concluding Remark

In this research paper we have introduced the natural generalization of the H-function of two variables introduced by Mittal and Gupta [21]. In addition to this we have also obtained convergence conditions, series representation, elementary properties, transformation formulas and special cases.

As we have seen that the generalized function of two variables introduced by Agarwal [1] and Sharma [27,28] have found interesting applications in **wireless communication**, therefore the function which have been introduced in this paper may be potentially useful.

We conclude this paper by remarking that single and double integrals, differentiation formulas and summation formulas for this newly defined I-functions of two variables are under investigations and the same will form a subsequent paper in this direction.

References

- [1] Agarwal, R.P., *An extension of Meijer's G-function*, Proc.Nat.Inst.Sci.India, Part A, **13**, 536-546, (1965)
- [2] Ansari, I.S., Yilmaz, F., Alouni, M.S. and Kucur, O. *New results on the sum of Gamma random variates with application to the performance of Wireless communication systems over Nakagami-m Fading Channels*, arxiv: 1202.2576v4 [cs.IT] 18 Jul. 2012
- [3] Ansari, I.S., Yilmaz, F. and Alouni, M.S. , *On the sum of squared n - μ Random variates with application to the Performance of Wireless Communication Systems*, arxiv : 1210.0100v1 [cs.IT] 29, Sep 2012.

- [4] Appel, P. and Kampé de Fériet, S., *Fonctions hypergeometriques et hyperspheriques Polynomes d'Hermite*, Gauthier villars, Paris, (1926).
- [5] Bailey W.N., *A Reducible Case of the Fourth Type of Appell's Hypergeometric Functions of Two Variables.* , Quart. J. Math. (Oxford) **4** , 305-308, (1933).
- [6] Braaksma. B. L. J. , *Asymptotic expansions and analytic continuations for a class of Barnes-integrals*, Compositio Math. **15**, 239-341, (1964).
- [7] Bora, S.L. and Kalla, S.L. , *Some results involving generalized function of two variables* , Kyungpook Math. J. **10**, 133-140, (1970)
- [8] Burchnall. J.L. and Chaundy. T. W. *Expansions of Appell's double hyper-geometric functions* , Quart. J. Math. , **11**, no.1, 249-270, (1940)
- [9] Burchnall. J.L. and Chaundy. T. W. *Expansions of Appell's double hyper-geometric functions (II)* , Quart. J. Math. , **12**, no. 1, 112-128, (1941)
- [10] Erdelyi.A. : *Higher Transcendental Functions*, Vol.I, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York. (1953).
- [11] Fox,C. *The G and H-functions as symmetrical Fourier kernals*, Trans.Amer. Math.Soc. **98**, 395-429, (1961).
- [12] Gupta.K.C., Jain Rashmi and Rajani Agrawal, *On existence conditions for a generalized Mellin - Barnes type integral*, Natl Acad Sci Lett, **30(5,6)**, 169-172, (2007).
- [13] Gupta.K.C. and Jain U.C., *The H- function II*, Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci. **36(A)**, 504-609, (1966).
- [14] Gupta.K.C.and Mittal P.K., *Integrals involving a generalised function of two variables*, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. **5**, 430-437, (1974).
- [15] Goyal.S.P., *The H-function of two variables* , Kyungpook Math.J. , **15**, no.1, 117-131, (1975).
- [16] Humbert, P. *The confluent hypergeometric functions of two variables*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 41, 73-82, (1929).
- [17] Kilbas,A.A. and Saigo,M , *H-transforms : Theory and applications* , Boca Raton - London - New York- Washington , D.C. : CRC Press LLC, (2004).
- [18] Luke.Y.L., *The Special Functions and their Approximations*, Vol. 1, Academic Press, New York, (1969).
- [19] Mathai.A.M., Saxena R.K., Haubold. H.J. :*The H - function , Theory and Applications*, Springer, (2009).
- [20] Meijer, C.S. *On the G-function I-VIII*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. **49**, 227-237; 344-356; 457-469; 632-641; 765-772; 936-943; 1063-1072; 1165-1175, (1946)

- [21] Mittal, P.K. and Gupta, K.C. : *An integral involving generalized function of two variables* , Proc.Indian Acad. Sci., sect.A. **75**, 117-123, (1972).
- [22] Pathak.R.S. *Some results involving G and H functions* , Bull Calcutta. Math. Soc., 62, 97-106, (1970).
- [23] Rainville,E.D. *Special Functions*, Macmillan Publishers, New York, (1963).
- [24] Rathie, Arjun K. *A new generalization of generalized hypergeometric functions*, Le Matematiche **Vol. LII . Fasc. II**, 297 - 310, (1997).
- [25] Ricard Beals, Roderick Wong *Special functions*, Cambridge University Press, (2010).
- [26] Sharma.B.L. and Abiodun.R.F.A. , *Generating function for generalized function of two variables*, Proc.Amer.Math.Soc., **46** , 69-72, (1974)
- [27] Sharma B L , *On the generalized function of two variables (I)* , Annls.Soc.Sci. Bruxeles Ser.**I(79)**, 26-40 , (1965)
- [28] Sharma , B.L. A new expansion formula for hypergeometric functions of two variables. Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, **64**, 413-416, (1968)
- [29] Srivastava.H.M. and Daoust. M. C., *On Eulerian integrals associated with Kampé de Fériet function* , Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd)(N. S.) , **9(23)** , 199-202, (1969).
- [30] Srivastava.H.M., Gupta K.C., Goyal S.P., *The H - functions of one and two variables with applications*,South Asian Publishers, New Delhi.(1982).
- [31] Verma, R. U. , *On the H-function of two variables I* , Indian J Pure Appl Math. **5**, **No.7**, 616-623 , (1974)
- [32] Xia Minghua, Wu Yik-Chung and Aissa Sonia, *Exact Outage Probability of Dual-Hop CSI-Assisted AF Relaying Over Nakagami-m Fading Channels*, IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, **60(10)**, 5578-5583, (2012)